UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov APPLICATION NO FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/790,545 03/01/2004 Joseph W. Hundley 5732 7590 10/31/2007 **EXAMINER** James W. Hiney, Esq. **Suite 1100** TOOMER, CEPHIA D 1872 Pratt Drive ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Blacksburg, VA 24060 1797 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10/31/2007

**PAPER** 

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/790,545	HUNDLEY, JOSEPH W.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Cephia D. Toomer	1797		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<del>''''                                 </del>	<del></del>		
This application is abandoned in view of:				
1. \$7 A mailtine while faith was to this police for a manner was but to the Office letter we sited on OF A mill 0007				
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>05 April 2007</u> .      (a)    A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).				
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).				
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.				
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).				
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).				
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$				
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).				
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.				
7. The reason(s) below:				
Céphia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1797				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20071028		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner	10/790,545	HUNDLEY, JOSEPH W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Hiney</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	int's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		,
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The examiner informed Mr. Hiney that the application is abandon change of address and petition to revive.		
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar		
		•
Co due Da		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

13

Organization CLICLION BIJG/Room REINSEN UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE PO. BOX 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria. VA 22313-1450

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Official Business

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER RECEIVED

NOV 8 2007

USPTO MAIL CENTER

NOT DELIVERABLE AS ACCRESSED CAMBLE TO FORWARD - O 专的 : : 祖田×日文

BC: 22313145050

Lither Hardler Hardland Hardler Hardler Hardler

\$ 00.410 0004204479 00.731 2007 MAILED FROM ZIPCODE 22314